

Chemistry 4990- Senior Seminar - Spring 2019

Instructor: Professor Bob Brown

E-mail: bob.brown@usu.edu Phone: 797-0545 Office: W026

Office Hours: Tuesday and Thursday from 2:30-3:20 PM and by appointment

Class Times: Friday, 1:30- 2:20 (W053) and Wednesday Dept. Seminars, 4-5 PM (W330)

Learning Objectives

Course is designed for Senior Undergraduate Chemistry Majors. Students are expected to master the following:

Scientific literature searches

Resume Preparation

Technical writing

Critical Analysis of Scientific Presentations

Presentation of a scientific topic via oral and poster format

Texts

There is no assigned text for the course. Recommended references are books like *Elements of Style*, Strunk and White, 4th edition and *The ACS Style Guide: A Manual for Authors and Editors*, Dodd editor. Additional handouts will be provided during the semester as needed.

Grading

Grades will be based on points awarded for the elements described below. Final grades will be assigned based upon a percentage of the total points in the following manner: A's 100-90%, B's 89-80%, C's 79-70%, D's 69-60%, F below 60%. **Assignments turned in after the deadline will have 2 points deducted for each day that an assignment is late.**

Point Distribution

Attendance	25
Resume	20
Seminar Critiques (5x10)	50
Literature Homework	25
Seminar Practice	10
Seminar	50
Poster	50
Assessment Exam	20

Total **250**

Provisions

This course will adhere to the USU Academic Policies and Procedures Manual found at the web site <http://www.usu.edu/policies/>. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, reasonable accommodation will be provided for all persons with disabilities in order to ensure equal participation in Chemistry 4990. A student who requires an accommodation must contact the Instructor. The disability must be documented by the Disability Resource Center. In cooperation with the Disability Resource Center, reasonable accommodation will be provided for students with disabilities. Course material may be requested in alternate formats through the Disability Resource Center.

Tentative Weekly Course Schedule - Updated (1.17.19)			
Week	Date	Activities	Notes
1	1/11	Introductory meeting - Go Over Syllabus and Class Requirements	ESCL 053
2	1/16	Begin discussing selection of presentation topics	W330
	1/18	Discuss how to prepare an acceptable seminar critique	ESCL 053
3	1/23	Discuss Literature Search Requirements and Scoring Rubric	ESLC 046
	1/25	How to prepare Seminar/Poster - Tips and Examples	ESLC 053
4	1/30	Silvana Martini - Nutrition, Dietetics, and Food Science USU	W330
	2/1	Scientific Literature Searches/Library Resources (USU Library Room 155)	Becky Skeen
5	2/6	No Seminar - Possible Outside Speaker - TBA	ESLC 046
	2/8	1 Key Slide on Proposed Topic Presented - 3 minutes per student max	ESLC 053
6	2/13	Dr. Hongfei Wang - Fudan University	W330
	2/15	Preliminary Topic Due - Discuss this week's seminar	ESLC 053
7	2/20	No Seminar - Possible Outside Speaker - TBA	ESLC 046
	2/22	No Seminar - Possible Outside Speaker - TBA	ESLC 053
8	2/27	Effective Interviewing Skills Presentation - Career Services - Sophie Bassett	ESLC 046
	3/1	Literature Search Homework is Due Today Resume Preparation Presentation - Career Services - Sophie Bassett	ESLC 053
9	3/6	Practice Student Oral Presentations (First group of 4 students)	ESLC 046
	3/8	Preliminary Seminar Abstract Due Practice Student Oral Presentations (Second group of 4 students)	ESLC 053
10	3/13	No Class -- Spring Break	
	3/15	No Class -- Spring Break	
11	3/20	Practice Student Oral Presentations (Third group of 4 students)	ESLC 046
	3/22	Practice Student Oral Presentations (Fourth group of 4 students) Resume and Final (Graded) Version of Seminar Abstract Due	ESLC 053
12	3/27	Professor Henry White - University of Utah	ESLC 046
	3/29	Student Poster Session (tentative, date may change)	ESLC 053
13	4/3	Professor Heather Allen - Ohio State University - Olsen Seminar Speaker	ESLC 046
	4/5	Student Oral Presentations (First group of 4 students)	ESLC 053
14	4/10	Professor Zlatko Janeba - Institute of Organic Chemistry/Biochemistry CAS	W 330
	4/12	Student Oral Presentations (Second group of 4 students)	Chemistry Lobby
15	4/17	Professor Willima P. Reinhardt - University of Washington	W 330
	4/19	Student Oral Presentations (Third group of 4 students)	ESLC 053
16	4/24	Student Oral Presentations (Fourth group of 4 students)	ESLC 046
	4/26	Assessment Exam - 90 minutes - Final Exam Day (1:30-3:20 PM)	ESLC 053

Optional Special Seminars - Inorganic Chemistry faculty search candidates will present seminars on:

1/14 at 4:00-5:00 in W330 - Dr. Gang Li from Princeton University

1/17 at 11:00-12:00 in W330 - Dr. Jonathan Axtell from University of California Los Angeles

1/22 at 4:00-5:00 in W330 - Dr. Wenyang Gao from Texas A & M University

Students may do a critique on any of the regular or special seminars. Five critiques in total are required. Students must attend all regular seminars and all regular scheduled class meetings.

Course requirements:

Each student will be expected to complete the following in order to satisfy the course requirements:

Attendance:

Attendance is required for all scheduled activities, including seminar practices, the poster session, and the seminar presentations of your classmates. In addition, all scheduled Wednesday departmental seminars must be attended and written critiques prepared for five of the seminars. A missed activity can be made up at the discretion of the Professor. The Professor, in consultation with the student, will devise make-up assignments. For each unexcused absence, 5 points will be lost. **More than three unexcused absences will result in a failing grade.**

Resume:

Following the guidance presented by Donna Crow, Director of Career Services, you will prepare a 1-2 page resume. After direction in class, draft resumes are to be prepared by each student. Students will receive feedback on their resume draft and should turn in a final draft for grading (see course schedule for due dates).

Seminar Critiques/ Discussions: Attendance at all regularly scheduled departmental seminars is required. For five of the seminars attended, a one page detailed typewritten critique must be submitted no later than one week after the seminar. As time permits, we will discuss seminars as a group (typically on Fridays) and student participation is expected.

Literature Homework: For the literature homework, you will need to do a complete literature search on a scientific topic related to the Poster and Seminar presentations you will present (vide infra). Topic selection is subject to the approval of the Instructor. Using the methods described during our meeting with the reference librarian, you will need to turn in a document including the following:

- 1) A 1-2 page description of the search methods and strategy used.
- 2) 1-3 references to book chapters, conference proceedings, encyclopedias (not Wikipedia!) or review articles.
- 3) A list of 3 websites providing information about your topic.
- 4) At least five citations for articles from peer-reviewed scientific journals.
- 5) A Title and Abstract for your presentations (oral seminar and poster).

Note: see attached rubric below for assessing Information Literacy

Poster: You will first present your topic as a poster presentation. The poster should be of a 4 ft x 3 ft format and follow the guidelines passed out in class. Example PowerPoint templates will be provided which students can modify to produce their posters. The poster grade will cover clearness and organization of the poster and the student's ability to discuss the contents with students and faculty.

Seminar: You will present your topic as a seminar to the department. It should be 12 minutes long and 3-4 minutes will be provided for questions from the audience after the presentation. It should be presented using PowerPoint slides. You may choose a faculty mentor, who can help advise you about your presentations in addition to course the Instructor. Each student is required to present a practice version of the seminar to the Instructor and class.

Assessment: Students will be administered an exam meant to aid in the assessment of the USU chemistry program. The exam is divided into six sections involving analytical chemistry, biochemistry, general chemistry, inorganic chemistry, organic chemistry, and physical chemistry, each with roughly 15 min of multiple-choice questions. Students scoring above 50% will receive 20 points towards their final class grade. In addition, faculty members will interact with students during the poster presentations to help assess their strengths and weaknesses, in addition to gathering information from the students about their impressions of the chemistry program at USU. Finally, students will have the opportunity to give input about the course on the normal course evaluation forms.

Chemistry 4990 Information Literacy Assessment Rubric

Purpose

- Provide you with criteria that define effective use of information for research.
- While all of the items listed below will be assessed in final papers and presentations, elements 1 – 4 are relevant to the report you will write about your process and experience of searching for information sources (due by 3/1).

Scoring Rubric

1. Effectively search the chemical literature and retrieve background information relevant to the project.

	Excellent = 3	Good/Adequate =2	Needs Work = 1	Not evident = 0
Find chemistry-specific sources of background information such as encyclopedias, treatises, compiled works, and review articles, if relevant.	Sources or text includes reference to several chemistry specific sources of background information.	Sources or text includes reference to a few chemistry-specific sources of background information.	Minimal number of chemistry-specific sources of background information evident.	No chemistry-specific sources of background information evident.

2. Use Scifinder (Chemical Abstracts) and other databases to conduct a comprehensive subject search to find research-based sources.

	Excellent =3	Good/Adequate =2	Needs Work =1	Not evident = 0
Find scholarly journal articles or other authoritative sources to support arguments and assertions.	Supports all arguments with cited evidence.	Most arguments supported with cited evidence.	Few arguments supported with cited evidence.	Virtually no arguments supported with cited evidence.
Use reviewed articles (a.k.a. refereed) or authoritative sites to fulfill research needs.	All sources from reviewed publications (peer-reviewed or editor-reviewed) or authoritative websites.	Some sources from reviewed sources (peer-reviewed or editor-reviewed) or authoritative sites; some sources from old, biased, or unreliable sources.	Many sources from out-of-date, biased, or non-professional sources, and few peer-reviewed sources.	No peer-reviewed sources used.

3. Optional: Augment research by pursuing both cited references in relevant papers and papers that are more recent that also cite those relevant papers.

	Excellent =3	Good/Adequate =2	Needs Work =1	Not evident = 0
Use the Web of Science database or SciFinder's "get related" command to identify and locate papers citing a specific paper and/or author.	The report on literature searching explains how cited and citing references were used to discover additional useful publications.			No mention of exploring cited and citing references to discover additional useful publications.

4. Evaluate websites and other information resources.

	Excellent =3	Good/Adequate =2	Needs Work =1	Not evident = 0
Evaluate the authority and appropriateness of a web site or other information source.	Identifies and/or acknowledges all authors' credentials and acknowledges the purpose or bias of each source.	Identifies and/or acknowledges most authors' credentials and acknowledges the purpose or bias of most sources.	Does not identify or acknowledge authors' credentials for most sources or does not acknowledge the purpose or bias of most sources.	Does not identify or acknowledge authors' credentials or does not acknowledge the purpose or bias of sources.
Corroborate information found on websites with information from reviewed sources, if relevant.	Corroboration in every case.	Corroboration in many cases.	Corroboration in few cases.	No evidence of corroboration.
Sources published within appropriate time frame for current and/or historical reference.	All sources published in appropriate time frame.	Most sources published in appropriate time frame.	Few sources published in appropriate time frame.	All sources out of date.

5. Read, digest and synthesize the information that is found.

	Excellent =3	Good/Adequate =2	Needs Work =1	Not evident = 0
Select information that provides evidence for the topic.	All sources clearly related to topic.	Most sources clearly related to topic.	Many sources unrelated to topic or relevance is unclear.	Virtually all sources unrelated to topic.
Synthesize and integrate information by paraphrasing and quoting effectively.	All quotes and paraphrases are integrated into the text appropriately and effectively.	Most quotes and paraphrases are integrated into the text appropriately and effectively, with some placed into text without any connections drawn.	Many quotes and paraphrases placed in text without any connections drawn or comments included.	Most quotes and paraphrases placed in text without any connections drawn or comments included.

6. Follow appropriate protocol to cite information sources and acknowledge copyright for graphs, charts, or other material from published sources.

	Excellent =3	Good/Adequate =2	Needs Work =1	Not evident = 0
Properly cite sources according to the style specified by one of the journals published by the American Chemical Society (ACS).	All references cited in correct format with virtually no errors in format.	Most references are identified, with some errors in format.	Insufficient or incorrect information for many sources, with frequent errors in format.	No bibliography or list of cited sources.
Properly identify and acknowledge original source(s) of paraphrased elements.	All paraphrased entries correctly cited.	Most paraphrased entries correctly cited.	Some paraphrased entries correctly cited.	No paraphrased entries correctly cited.
Properly cite figures, drawings, and quotes in presentation.	All figures, drawings, and quotes correctly cited.	Most figures, drawings, and quotes correctly cited.	Some figures, drawings, and quotes correctly cited.	No figures, drawings, or quotes correctly cited.

Sources:

Emmons, Mark and Wanda Martin. "Engaging Conversation: Evaluating the Contribution of Library Instruction to the Quality of Student Research." *College & Research Libraries* 63.6 (2002): 545-559.

Chemical Information Retrieval (ACS Division of Chemical Information):
<http://chemunder.chemistry.ohio-state.edu/under/programs/acsdsc4.htm>

Information Competencies for Chemistry Undergraduates: the elements of information literacy (Special Libraries Association): <http://units.sla.org/division/dche/il/cheminfolit.pdf>

ACRL Standards (American Library Association):
<http://www.ala.org/ala/acrl/acrlstandards/informationliteracycompetency.htm>

Chem 4990 Seminar Critiques

Note: you should take notes during the seminar and remember, a critique is not finding fault with the presentation but a summary of what you find good about a presentation and what could have improved the seminar.

1. Make sure you attend seminar with paper (small notebook) and writing implement.
2. Note the title of the lecture, and the speaker's name and affiliation.
3. Pay attention to the "big picture" by noting down key words, key topics, and key questions posed.
4. Attempt to formulate questions while you are listening. Make notes of these.
5. Summarize your questions into one or two that you could or would ask the speaker.

Format for critique: (Short paragraphs)

Paragraph 1: Speaker, name, affiliation, and details of their reputation (or their local host)

Paragraph 2: Paraphrase the general area of the talk. What type of scientific literature is involved? What kind of instrumentation, synthesis, and related issues were important? What are the central questions posed by the speaker?

Paragraph 3: What did you learn from the lecture? What specific question(s) did you still have after the seminar was over? What material did you wish the speaker explained better or talked about more during the seminar?

Paragraph 4: Give a rating of the lecture quality, including speaker's ability to articulate verbally, quality of visual aids (slides), and their ability to engage the audience and address questions.

Library / Database work

SciFinder is a database that the library makes available through a paid subscription:

<http://www.cas.org/support/scifi/tutorials.html>

Some of the tutorials are somewhat long and quite specific. I recommend looking at this YouTube video prepared by Prof. Mike Christiansen at the Uintah Basin campus:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1B9v34LgAzM>

Other databases we will look at in class include Web of Science, which is useful because it tracks citations to help locate relevant research publications, PubMed, which is especially useful for biochemists and for bioinformatics and genomics information, and the ACS online journals collection.

This following webpage points out the databases mentioned above as well as some others for chemistry and biochemistry: <http://libguides.usu.edu/chem-biochem>. The page also provides some information about using the USU Library.

Library Contacts:

Becky Skeen

email: becky.skeen@usu.edu

Chemistry/Biochemistry Library Liaison
Merrill-Cazier Library